Case 2:11-cr-00537-LKK Document 1 Filed 12/16/11 Page 1 of 13

1 2 3	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney TODD A. PICKLES Assistant U.S. Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2766 DEC 16 2011 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT COURT BY				
4					
5	DEPUTY CLEAK				
6	SEALEID				
7	SPL				
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	2:11 - CR - 0537 LKK				
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO.				
12) Plaintiff,) VIOLATIONS: 18 U.S.C. § 1341 -				
13) Mail Fraud (3 Counts); 18 v.) U.S.C. § 1343 - Wire Fraud (8				
14) Counts); 18 U.S.C. § 1957 - TROY STRATOS,) Money Laundering (2 Counts);				
15) 18 U.S.C. § 1503 -) Obstruction of Justice, 18				
16	Defendant.) U.S.C. § 982(A)(1) - Criminal Forfeiture				
17) Forrerture				
18					
19	<u>INDICTMENT</u>				
20					
21	COUNTS ONE THROUGH THREE: [18 U.S.C. § 1341 - Mail Fraud]				
22	The Grand Jury charges:				
	TROY STRATOS,				
23	defendant herein, as follows:				
24	I. INTRODUCTION				
25	1. From in or around October 2006 through approximately				
26	February 2007, defendant TROY STRATOS was an individual who did				
27					
28	business in Granite Bay, in the State and Eastern District of				
	1				

Case 2:11-cr-00537-LKK Document 1 Filed 12/16/11 Page 2 of 13

California. Defendant resided for portions of the year in Granite Bay, California, and for portions of the year in Florida.

2. From in or around 2006, through at least 2007, defendant STRATOS operated Next Level Media, which had its place of business in Granite Bay, California.

3. From in or around 2006, through at least 2007, defendant STRATOS maintained a bank account in the name of Troy Stratos Enterprises at Bank of America as well as a personal bank account at Bank of America.

4. At all times relevant to this indictment, N.M. was the ex-wife of E.M. N.M. and E.M. filed for divorce in approximately August 2005. N.M. resided in the State of California and had property located in the Eastern District of California.

II. SCHEME TO DEFRAUD

5. Beginning on a date unknown to the Grand Jury, but not later than approximately August 2005, and continuing up to at least September 2007, in the State and Eastern District of California and elsewhere, defendant STRATOS did devise and intend to devise a material scheme and artifice to defraud N.M., and to obtain money from her by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations and promises. As a result of the scheme, defendant STRATOS misappropriated at least approximately \$7,000,000 in funds from N.M.

III. WAYS AND MEANS

6. As part of the scheme to defraud, defendant utilized the following ways and means, among others:

1

Case 2:11-cr-00537-LKK Document 1 Filed 12/16/11 Page 3 of 13

7. Defendant STRATOS claimed to be involved in the entertainment industry as a movie/video director and producer, and as a developer and promoter of entertainment talent, all under the names of various entities. Defendant STRATOS represented to N.M., in general, that he was wealthy and successful, and that, among other things, he had made substantial money from oil investments.

8. Defendant STRATOS promised N.M. that he would help manage N.M.'s portion of the proceeds of her recent divorce, including real property in her name and cash assets. Defendant STRATOS represented to N.M. that she needed to create a trust allowing defendant STRATOS to have access and control over N.M.'s assets and that the trust would help defendant to manage and protect N.M.'s assets. At defendant STRATOS's request and direction, the Granite TN Revocable Trust ("Granite TN Trust") was executed in Fair Oaks, California, by defendant STRATOS and N.M. Defendant STRATOS also caused a bank account to be opened in the name of the Granite TN Revocable Trust in Fort Lauderdale, Florida.

9. Defendant STRATOS falsely represented to N.M. that he would invest the divorce proceeds overseas, including in Dubai in the United Arab Emirates, where the proceeds would earn a high rate of return.

10. Defendant STRATOS falsely represented to N.M. that he would pay for her expenses from his own money because her money was purportedly invested overseas.

11. At defendant STRATOS'S request and instruction, N.M. transferred cash in excess of \$8,000,000 into the Granite TN Trust.

Case 2:11-cr-00537-LKK Document 1 Filed 12/16/11 Page 4 of 13

N.M. placed the assets in the Granite TN Trust so that defendant STRATOS would manage the assets, including investment of the same as defendant STRATOS had promised.

12. After the assets were transferred into the Granite TN Trust, defendant STRATOS falsely represented to N.M. that he had invested her money overseas, including in the Middle East. Defendant STRATOS further represented to N.M. and others that N.M.'s assets were held in an account in Switzerland.

13. In truth and in fact, defendant STRATOS did not invest any of N.M.'s money overseas. Instead, defendant STRATOS caused money to be transferred by wire from the Granite TN Trust account to his own personal accounts, including the Troy Stratos Enterprises Account and his personal bank account, and used substantial portions of N.M.'s money for defendant STRATOS's own personal expenditures.

14. In truth and in fact, defendant STRATOS did not pay for N.M.'s expenses with his own money, but rather used N.M.'s own money to pay her expenses by withdrawing or transferring money from the Granite TN Trust account.

15. Defendant STRATOS represented to N.M. that he would facilitate the sale of N.M.'s Granite Bay home. Defendant STRATOS represented at various times to N.M. that he had friends in the Middle East who were members of Middle Eastern royal families, and that they would purchase her house located in Granite Bay. Defendant STRATOS falsely represented to N.M. that he was arranging to sell her Granite Bay house to these Middle Eastern individuals.

Case 2:11-cr-00537-LKK Document 1 · Filed 12/16/11 Page 5 of 13

16. In truth and in fact, defendant STRATOS had not made arrangements for any members of Middle Eastern royalty to purchase N.M.'s Granite Bay house. While purportedly attempting to sell N.M.'s home, defendant STRATOS resided for portions of 2006 and 2007 at the house without paying rent. While staying at N.M.'s house, defendant STRATOS also used portions of money misappropriated from N.M. to pay for his personal expenses as well as maintenance on the property.

17. Defendant STRATOS represented to N.M. that home purchasers would expect to see luxury automobiles at the house when visiting the home during the marketing process and that purchasers would want to purchase the vehicles along with the house as part of a package deal. Based on these claims, defendant STRATOS caused several luxury automobiles to be leased in the name of N.M. for use at the Granite Bay house. Thereafter, defendant STRATOS made personal use of the leased vehicles.

18. In October 2006, defendant STRATOS moved his staff for his Next Level Media company into N.M.'s Granite Bay house. Defendant STRATOS then paid his staff with money that he misappropriated from N.M.

19. Defendant STRATOS falsely represented to N.M. that, because her money was invested overseas, it was necessary to refinance N.M.'s houses (including N.M.'s houses in Granite Bay, and her mother's house located in Sacramento, California, held in N.M.'s name), to obtain equity to pay for N.M.'s expenses. Acting upon the advice and instruction of defendant STRATOS, N.M. refinanced her

Case 2:11-cr-00537-LKK Document 1 Filed 12/16/11 Page 6 of 13

houses. Money from refinancing N.M.'s Granite Bay house in December 2006 was transferred by wire from U.S. Bank to N.M.'s Bank of America account in Florida. Thereafter, at defendant STRATOS's request and instruction, some of this money was transferred into the Granite TN Trust account.

20. In truth and in fact, defendant STRATOS had not invested N.M.'s money overseas, and refinancing of N.M.'s houses was required to pay defendant STRATOS'S expenses. Moreover, after money from refinancing N.M.'s houses was transferred into the Granite TN Trust account, defendant STRATOS caused portions of this money to be transferred to his own personal accounts or to be withdrawn for his own personal use.

IV. THE MAILINGS

21. On or about the dates set forth below, in the State and Eastern District of California and elsewhere, for the purpose of executing and attempting to execute the aforementioned scheme and artifice to defraud, defendant STRATOS did knowingly cause to be sent or delivered by the Postal Service, or delivered by common carrier, to the locations set forth below, the documents and items specified below:

Count	Date	From	То	Content
1	1/3/07	Granite Bay, CA	Dublin, CA	\$1,214.36 check to Rolls-Royce Motor Cars for lease of vehicle

Case 2:11-cr-00537-LKK Document 1 Filed 12/16/11 Page 7 of 13

1 2 1/9/07 Granite Bay, CA Phoenix, AZ \$2,220.20 check to BMW Financial 2 Service for lease of vehicle 3 3 1/16/07 Granite Bay, CA Dublin, CA \$5,996.77 check 4 to Rolls-Royce Motor Cars for 5 lease of vehicle 6 7 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2 8 and 1341. 9 COUNTS FOUR THROUGH ELEVEN: [18 U.S.C. § 1343 - Wire Fraud] 10 The Grand Jury further charges: 11 TROY STRATOS, 12 defendant herein, as follows: 13 Paragraphs 1 through 20 of Counts One through Three of 1. 14 this Indictment are re-alleged and fully incorporated herein by 15 16 reference. 17 THE INTERSTATE WIRE COMMUNICATIONS 18 2. On or about the dates set forth below, in the State and 19 Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, for the purpose of 20 executing and attempting to execute the aforementioned scheme and 21 artifice to defraud, defendant STRATOS did knowingly transmit and 22 cause to be transmitted by means of wire communication in interstate 23 commerce, certain writings, signs, and signals, to wit, interstate 24 wire transmissions further described below: 25 | | |26 I I I27 I I I28

Case 2:11-cr-00537-LKK Document 1 Filed 12/16/11 Page 8 of 13

٠

.

	•				
1 2	Count	Date	Wire From	Wire To	Contents of Wire
- 3 4	4	12/26/06	U.S. Bank, Nevada	N.M.'s account at Bank of America, Florida	\$793,211.60 wire transfer
5					
6 7	5	12/27/06	U.S. Bank, Nevada	N.M.'s account at Bank of America, Florida	\$375,278.63 wire transfer
8 9 10 11	6	1/2/07	Granite TN Trust account at Nevada Bank, Fort Lauderdale,	Troy Stratos Enterprises account at Bank of America, Granite Bay, CA	\$50,000.00 wire transfer
12	7	1/5/07	FL Granite TN	Troy Stratos	\$25,000.00
13 14			Trust account at Nevada Bank, Fort	Enterprises account at Bank of America, Granite Bay, CA	wire transfer
15 16			Lauderdale, FL		
17 18 19 20	8	1/18/07	Granite TN Trust account at Nevada Bank, Fort Lauderdale, FL	Troy Stratos Enterprises account at Bank of America, Granite Bay, CA	\$100,000.00 wire transfer
21 22 23 24	9	1/26/07	Granite TN Trust account at Nevada Bank, Fort Lauderdale, FL	Troy Stratos Enterprises account at Bank of America, Granite Bay, CA	\$10,000.00 wire transfer
24 25 26 27 28	10	2/1/07	Granite TN Trust account at Nevada Bank, Fort Lauderdale, FL	Troy Stratos Enterprises account at Bank of America, Granite Bay, CA	\$10,000.00 wire transfer
				1	<u> </u>

1	11	2/5/07	Granite TN	Troy Stratos	\$75,000.00
2			Trust account at	Enterprises account at Bank	wire transfer
R			Nevada Bank,	of America,	
,			Fort	Granite Bay, CA	
4			Lauderdale, FL		

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2 and 1343.

COUNTS TWELVE AND THIRTEEN: [18 U.S.C. § 1957 - Monetary Transaction in Property Derived from Specified Unlawful Activity]

The Grand Jury further charges:

TROY STRATOS,

defendant herein, as follows:

The allegations of Counts One through Eleven of this 1. Indictment are re-alleged and fully incorporated herein by reference.

Defendant STRATOS, on or about the dates set forth below, 2. in the State and Eastern District of California and elsewhere, did knowingly engage and attempt to engage in monetary transactions affecting interstate commerce, in criminally derived property of a value greater than \$10,000, such funds having been derived from 22 specified unlawful activity, that is, wire fraud in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343, and mail fraud in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341, as charged in Counts One through Eleven above,

| | || | |

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

Count	Date	Amount	Monetary Transaction
12	1/2/07	\$25,000	Cash withdrawal from Bank of America, Granite Bay, California
13	1/26/07	\$25,000	Cash withdrawal from Bank of America, Granite Bay, California

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957. <u>COUNT FOURTEEN</u>: [18 U.S.C. § 1503 - Obstruction of Justice]

The Grand Jury further charges:

TROY STRATOS,

defendant herein, between on or about February 21, 2007, and at least April 2007, in the Eastern District of California and elsewhere, did corruptly obstruct, influence, impede, and endeavor to obstruct, influence, and impede the due administration of justice by a federal grand jury in the Eastern District of California, to wit, he instructed his bookkeeper to conceal and withhold from production documents that were responsive to a grand jury subpoena issued to defendant's bookkeeper, requiring production of documents relating to defendant TROY STRATOS, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1503.

<u>FORFEITURE ALLEGATION</u>: [18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), 28 U.S.C. § 2461(C), Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(a) - Criminal Forfeiture]

 Upon conviction of one or more of the offenses alleged in Counts One through Thirteen of this Indictment, defendant Troy Stratos, also known as Troy David Stafford, shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 981(a)(1)(c) and 982(a)(2)(A), and Title 28, United States Code,

Case 2:11-cr-00537-LKK Document 1 Filed 12/16/11 Page 11 of 13

Section 2461(c), any property, real or personal, constituting or derived from proceeds traceable to said violation(s).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BENJAMIN B.

United States Attorney

2. If any property subject to forfeiture, as a result of the offenses alleged in Counts One through Thirteen of this Indictment:

(a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;

(b) has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third person;

(c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;

(d) has been substantially diminished in value; or

(e) has been commingled with other property which cannot be subdivided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(b)(1), incorporating Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any other property of said defendant, up to the value of the property subject to forfeiture.

> A TRUE BILL. /s/ Signature on file w/AUSA FOREPERSON

No. Case 2:11-cr-00537-LK4K 1 Document 10 Filed 12/16/11 Page 12 of 13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of California

Criminal Division

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

VS.

TROY STRATOS,

 $\underline{INDICTMENT}$

VIOLATIONS: 18 U.S.C. § 1341 - Mail Fraud (3 counts); 18 U.S.C. § 1343 - Wire Fraud (8 counts); 18 U.S.C. § 1957 - Money Laundering (2 counts); 18 U.S.C. § 1503 - Obstruction of Justice; 18 U.S.C. § 982(A)(1) - Criminal Forfeiture

A true	e bill,
Filed	in open court this16 day
of _	DECEMBEL, A.D. 20 11
	Clerk.
Bail, 3	8 _ <u>NO BAIL WARRANT PENDING HEARING</u>
_	

GPO 863 525

Case 2:11-cr-00537-L&K1 DocuGent 0 5Field 12/16/11 Page 13 of 13

PENALTY SLIP

TROY STRATOS

COUNTS 1-3:

Violation: <u>18 U.S.C. § 1341 - Mail Fraud</u>

Penalty: 20 Years Imprisonment, \$250,000 Fine, or both; 3 Years TSR

COUNTS 4-11:

- Violation: 18 U.S.C. § 1343 Wire Fraud
- Penalty: 20 Years Imprisonment, \$250,000 Fine or both; 3 Years TSR

COUNTS 12 & 13:

Violation: <u>18 U.S.C. § 1957 - Monetary Transaction in Property Derived from</u> <u>Specified Unlawful Activity</u>

Penalty: 10 Years Imprisonment, \$250,000 Fine, or both; 3 Years TSR

COUNT 14:

- Violation: 18 U.S.C. § 1503 Obstruction of Justice
- Penalty: 10 Years Imprisonment, \$250,000 Fine, or both; 3 Years TSR

FORFEITURE

ALLEGATIONS:

Violation: <u>18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(1)(C) 981(a)((1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(C)</u>

Penalty: As stated in the Indictment

COURT ASSESSMENT: \$100 each count